(MR. SPEAKER.)

objection to taking up the Bill for consideration. It is quite open to any Hon'ble Member to argue that, as the Bill has been considerably changed, the purpose of the Government in bringing forward this measure would not be achieved by passing the Bill in the present form. That would be an argument on merits which an Hon'ble Member is entitled to pursue. But no objection can be taken for making the motion. If the Hon'ble Minister wants to move that the Bill be taken into consideration, he may do so.

Personal explanation by Member.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY (Malur).—Sir, yesterday on a matter of personal explanation, I had requested the Chair to give me an opportunity, when the L. S. G. Minister made personal references to me while speaking on the Appropriation Bill. I request I may kindly be given an opportunity now to explain myself, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Yes.

***Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.**—What I meant was that the amelioration funds are misused and wasted. But the Hon'ble Minister said that I was not sympathetic to the poor and that I did not know the A B C of the locality. He also said that a Member representing a particular constituency should not refer to the affairs of any other constituency in the State. I think both the personal explanation which I am going to give and also the other one that a Member should not refer to the affairs of the Government in another constituency raise a matter of right and privilege of a Member to criticise the Government or to call the Government to order with regard to the utilisation of funds properly. I am in Kolar; I know the locality. Both of us hail from the same area. I should admit that I am not aware of any other activity of the L. S. G. Minister in that locality.

With regard to the other point that I do not know the A. B. C. of the locality and that a Member should not refer to the affairs of Government's activity in another constituency, that raises a fundamental issue and I seek the protection of the Chair and request the Chair to give a ruling with regard to the principle of criticising the actions of the Government in so far as it relates to the utilisation of funds properly for the benefit of the people. I believe and feel that every Member has a right. It is a fundamental right that each Member has with regard to the utilisation of every pie that the *Boregowda* pays to the State Exchequer. I am interested in the welfare of the humble and the poor and I am one of those working for their welfare. My only idea in making that statement was to show that the funds were wasted. In the same family six to eight people have been given sites and in many other families people have not been given one site. I only brought these points to the notice of the L.S.G. Minister so that the matter may be rectified. He never answered my point that the amelioration funds had been wasted. On the other hand he began to hoodwink me and told me that I should not be allowed to speak about his constituency and I do not know the A.B.C. of the locality. These personal remarks may kindly be expunged and a ruling given about the rights of a Member in safeguarding the interests of the people who have elected him and to see that every pie paid by the people to the State coffers is utilised properly. Sir, I leave it to the Members of the House to judge whether I know the A B C of the locality or whether the Hon'ble Minister knows the A.B.C. of the Constitution and the Rules of Procedure.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—You are making some allegation.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I regret that occasion should have arisen for me to have to remind the House that no personal recrimination should be made by one Hon'ble Member against another Member of the House. Therefore, if I have allowed any such remarks on the floor of the House, it is a lapse on my part. I might have allowed them inadvertently. There are rules of procedure to be followed by the Hon'ble

Members and it would not add to the dignity of this House for one Hon'ble Member to make any personal references regarding any other Hon'ble Member. No such personal references should be made in the future.

Sri T. MARIAPPA (Mysore City-North).—Sir, this point whether one Member representing a particular constituency can participate in a debate relating to affairs of another constituency has been raised and it requires a ruling.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Let us not make a big issue of these small things. It is hardly in keeping with the dignity of this House to carry on personal quarrels on the floor of this House. Probably, while refuting allegations, he might have referred to certain points. To take one side of the issue and go on arguing it, is hardly fair.

Regarding the point raised by Sri Mariappa, it appears to me that it does not require any ruling. Any Hon'ble Member of this House can refer to matters pertaining to the whole State on appropriate occasions. It does not require any ruling.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—I am not concerned with this issue. You were pleased to say that no personal references should be made. I do agree that no personal attacks should be made. But very often we allude to certain Ministers, and say that the Minister for L.S.G. did so and so in the course of his official duties. Strictly speaking, it may be taken as a personal reference.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Recrimination, I said.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—It depends upon how we take it. It may be said the Local Self-Government Minister was responsible in changing the site from one place to another. It may be taken as personal reference or as reference to the Minister as such. When we make reference to them in their capacity of Ministers, then it cannot be taken as personal reference.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Whether there has been personal reference in a particular case, it is left to the discretion of the Speaker to determine. There is no question of our arguing over the matter.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—Yesterday, you promised to take up Q. No. 275 tabled for 14th October.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I understand the Hon'ble Minister in charge of this question has to answer some interpellations in the other House. I shall take up the question tomorrow.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN (Ulsoor).—We are going to sit for only one more day according to our programme and only two of my questions have been answered hitherto. I want to know whether the rest of the questions will be answered and published in the Proceedings.

Further, Sir, there is one more thing. There is a strong rumour in the State that our Rajpramukh is going to take leave for two months and that Sri C. Rajagopalachari is going to be appointed as Governor. I do not know whether this House is going to be consulted and whether there is any truth in that rumour.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Unless some business concerning this House is placed on the table and a motion is moved, I do not think any outside matter can be discussed on the floor of this House.

We shall now resume discussion on Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Bill.

MYSORE CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1954.

Motion to consider (contd.)

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಮುನಿಯಪ್ಪ (ಹರಿಯೂರು).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಮೊನ್ನೆ ಈ ಮುನೂದಾ ಕಾನೂನಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾತನಾಡತ್ತ ಇದನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ತರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಹಿನ್ನೆಲೆ ಏನು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ನಾನು ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೆ. ಆ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆಯವರ ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳವರ ಒತ್ತಾಯದ ಮೇರೆಗೆ, ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಈಗ ರಿಸರ್ವ್ ಬ್ಯಾಂಕ್‌ನಿಂದ ರೈತರಿಗೆ ಹಣ ಸಹಾಯವನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಒಂದು ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಯಶಸ್ವಿಯಾಗಿ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಇಂಥ ಒಂದು ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆ ಬಂದಿದ್ದರಿಂದ